

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~



Defense Intelligence Reference Document

Acquisition Threat Support

11 March 2010

ICOD: 1 December 2009

Anomalous Acute and Subacute Field Effects on Human Biological Tissues

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~



DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20340-5100



U-22-7043/IMO-2 (FOIA)

FOIA-00069-2018

March 23, 2022

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated December 18, 2017 that you submitted to the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) for information concerning: Requesting a copy of files, reports or video files related to the Advanced Aviation Threat Identification program (AATIP), an unclassified program which ran between 2007 and 2012. I apologize for the delay in responding to your request as DIA continues its efforts to eliminate the large backlog of pending requests.

A search of DIA's systems of records located 52 documents (1574 pages) responsive to your request. Upon review, I have determined that some portions of (52) document(s) (1574 pages) must be withheld in part from disclosure pursuant to the FOIA. The withheld portions are exempt from release pursuant to Exemptions 3, 4, and 6 of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(3), (b)(4) and (b)(6). Exemption 3 applies to information specifically exempted by a statute establishing particular criteria for withholding. The applicable statutes are 10 U.S.C. § 424 and 26 U.S.C. § 6103. Statute 10 U.S.C. § 424 protects the identity of DIA employees, the organizational structure of the agency, and any function of DIA. Statute 26 U.S.C. § 6103 protects confidentiality and disclosure of returns and return information. Exemption 4 protects trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person [that is] privileged or confidential. Exemption 6 applies to information which if released would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of other individuals. DIA has not withheld any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the records.

UNCLASSIFIED // ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

- Claimed ESP development
- Nose bleeds
- Tastes
- Ringing in ears
- Weight loss
- Breathing problems
- Urination problems
- Gynecological problems
- Claimed implant
- Perceived teleportation
- Stunned
- Itching
- Loss of taste
- Loss of hearing
- Induced feeling of calm, serenity

4
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
2
2
2
2

And, in no particular order, one each of: EM effects on power system, involuntary muscle movement, induced body odor, wart growth, sooty deposit, mental enhancement, mental degradation, swallowing difficulty, teeth vibration, fillings crumbled, hair precipitously turned white, time sped up, unaccounted-for pregnancy, cancer, fever, stomach sickness, physiological energization, loss of smell, external control of vehicle, material evidence.

Appendix A: Schuessler Catalog of UFO-Related Human Physiological Effects (Frequency Distribution)

The Schuessler catalog, *UFO-Related Human Physiological Effects*, was compiled in 1996 by MUFON's past Director, John F. Schuessler. Covering the time period 1873 - 1994, the catalog comprises a summary of 356 selected cases of UFO-induced physiological effects on humans during close encounters. Physiological effects enumerated include such phenomena as paralysis, electrical shocks, feeling of heat, burns, perception of odors, etc. A compilation of the frequency distribution of these effects is enumerated below. The catalog thus constitutes a useful data base from which it is anticipated that certain physical source causes may be inferred.

Table of Effects Frequency

• Apparent abductions	129
• Electromagnetic effects on vehicle(s)	77
• Paralysis	75
• Perceived time loss	75
• Light beam effects	61
• Eye injuries (e.g., temporary blindness, conjunctivitis)	54
• Heat	43
• Medical exam	42
• Burns	41
• Unconsciousness	33
• Marks left on body	33
• Significant sound effects (e.g., humming)	27
• Electrical shock	23
• Physiological/emotional shock, intense fear	23
• Prickling, tingling sensations	22
• Pain	22
• Skin sores, rash	18
• Induced headaches, migraines	18
• Force field impact	18
• Nausea, vomiting	17
• Sensation of cold	16
• Disorientation, confusion	14
• Ground traces	10
• Weakness, fatigue	9
• Amnesia	9
• Apparent experience of telepathy	9
• Numbness	8
• Significant odors	8
• Voice loss	7
• Appetite loss	7
• Insomnia	7
• Perceived time suspension	7
• Dehydration	6
• Swelling of tissues	6
• Dizziness	5
• Weightlessness, levitation	5
• Healing	5
• Sexual encounters	5
• Deaths	5
• Diarrhea	4
• Hair loss	4
• Nightmares	4

Appendix C

Green /Morris ICD-Codes [47] versus Environmental Effects after Vallee CE2,CE4,CE5; AN5; MA5; FB5 Ratings [48] ; SVP (Source, Visit, Possible explanation and ratings not germane) *in draft- progress.*

NOTES:

1} *The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), the Federal agency responsible for use of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) in the United States, has developed a clinical modification of the classification for morbidity purposes.*

The clinical modification represents a significant improvement over ICD-9-CM and ICD-10. Specific improvements include: the addition of information relevant to ambulatory and managed care encounters; expanded injury codes; the creation of combination diagnosis/symptom codes to reduce the number of codes needed to fully describe a condition [47]

2} *Vallee Ratings [48]*

AN RATING

Classifies any anomalous behaviour.

- AN1** Anomalies which have no lasting physical effects. i.e. amorphous lights, unexplained explosions.
- AN2** Anomalies which *do* have lasting physical effects. i.e. poltergeists, materialized objects, areas of flattened grass, corn circles.
- AN3** Anomalies with associated entities. i.e. ghosts, yetis, spirits, elves and other mythical/legendary entities.
- AN4** Witness interaction with the AN3 entities. i.e. near-death experiences, religious miracles and visions, OBEs (out-of-body experiences).
- AN5** Anomalous reports of injuries and deaths. i.e. SHC(spontaneous human combustion), unexplained wounds as well as permanent healing that results from a paranormal experience.

MA RATING

Describes behavior of a UFO. It is analogous to the Nocturnal Light, Daylight Disk and Radar Visual Hynek classifications.

- MA1** A UFO has been observed which travels in a discontinuous trajectory. i.e. vertical drops, maneuvers or loops.
- MA2** MA1 plus any physical effects caused by the UFO.
- MA3** MA1 plus any entities observed on board. i.e. the airship cases of the late nineteenth century.
- MA4** Maneuvers accompanied by a sense of reality transformation for the observer.
- MA5** A maneuver that results in a permanent injury or death of the witness.

FB RATING

Fly-by rating.

- FB1** A simple sighting of a UFO traveling in a straight line across the sky.
- FB2** FB1 accompanied by physical evidence.
- FB3** A fly-by where entities are observed on board (rare).
- FB4** A fly-by where the witness experienced a transformation of reality into the object or its occupants.
- FB5** A fly-by which the witness would suffer permanent injuries or even death. i.e. CASH/LANDRUM CASE.

CE RATING

Close Encounter rating. Similar to the Hynek Close Encounter ratings.

- CE1** UFO comes within 500 feet of the witness, but no after effects are suffered by the witness or the surrounding area.
- CE2** A CE1 that leaves landing traces or injuries to the witness.
- CE3** Entities have been observed on the UFO.
- CE4** The witness has been abducted.
- CE5** CE4 which results in permanent psychological injuries or death.

3) HIPAA Compliance of this Study - HIPAA: Acronym that stands for the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, a US law designed to provide privacy standards to protect patients' medical records and other health information provided to health plans, doctors, hospitals and other health care providers. Developed by the Department of Health and Human Services, these new standards provide patients with access to their medical records and more control over how their personal health information is used and disclosed. They represent a uniform, federal floor of privacy protections for consumers across the country. [49]

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-7012

June 24, 2009

Honorable William Lynn III
Deputy Secretary of Defense
1010 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-1010

Dear Secretary Lynn:

Beginning this past September, the U.S. Senate has mandated that the Defense Intelligence Agency assess far-term foreign advanced aerospace threats to the United States. The scope of program interest covers from the present out to forty years and beyond. In order to further our effort in recognizing emerging disruptive aerospace technologies, technical studies are being conducted in regard to advanced lift, propulsion, the use of unconventional materials and controls, signature reduction, weaponry, human interface and human effects.

Since the Advanced Aerospace Threat and Identification Program (AATIP) and study were first commissioned, much progress has been made with the identification of several highly sensitive, unconventional aerospace-related findings. Given the current rate of success, the continued study of these subjects will likely lead to technology advancements that in the immediate near-term will require extraordinary protection. Due to the sensitivities of the information surrounding aspects of this program, I require your assistance in establishing a Restricted Special-Access-Program (SAP) with a Bigoted Access List for specific portions of the AATIP.

In order to support this national effort, a small but highly specialized cadre of Department of Defense (DoD) and private sector individuals are necessary. These individuals must be specialized in the areas of advanced sciences, sensors, intelligence/counterintelligence, and advanced aerospace engineering. Given the likelihood that these technologies will be applied to future systems involving space flight, weapons, communications, and propulsion, the standard management and safeguarding procedures for classified information are not sufficient. Even the use of conventional SAP protocols will not adequately ensure that all aspects of the project are properly secured. Although not every aspect of AATIP requires Restricted SAP read-on, the following portions should be maintained at the Restricted SAP level:

- **The methodology used to identify, acquire, study, and engineer the advanced technologies associated with AATIP.**
 - o Specific methodologies used to study unconventional technology may require nuanced approaches that will undoubtedly be of significant interest if not a top priority for adversarial Foreign Intelligence Security Services (FISS).